

Resolution of Central Sydney Planning Committee

13 February 2020

Item 5

Section 4.55 Application: 57 Ashmore Street Erskineville - D/2015/966/C

Moved by the Chair (the Lord Mayor), seconded by Councillor Scully -

It is resolved that consent be granted to Section 4.55 Application No. D/2015/966/C subject to the amendment of the following conditions (with modifications shown in ***bold italics*** (additions) and ~~striketrough~~ (deletions), as follows:

(1) APPROVED STAGE 1 DEVELOPMENT

- (a) Development must be in accordance with Development Application No. D/2015/966 dated 9 July 2015 and the following drawings:

Drawing Number	Architect	Date
DD01-02 Issue <i>M R S</i>	Architectus	07/04/2017 13/11/2017 <i>16 January 2020</i>
DA01-03 Issue M	Architectus	07/04/2017
DA01-04 Issue L	Architectus	07/04/2017
DA01-05 Issue <i>M R S</i>	Architectus	07/04/2017 13/11/2017 <i>16 January 2020</i>
DA01-06 Issue <i>M T U</i>	Architectus	07/04/2017 13/11/2017 <i>16 January 2020</i>

Drawing Number	Architect	Date
DA01-07 Issue <i>T V W</i>	Architectus	10/10/2017 13/11/2017 16 January 2020
DA01-10 Issue L	Architectus	07/04/2017
DA01-11 Issue <i>U Y AA AB</i>	Architectus	10/10/2017 17/11/2017 15/06/18 16 January 2020
Site Locality and Indicative Phasing Plan	AECOM	22/09/2016

and as amended by the conditions of this consent and amended plans provided as required under deferred commencement condition (1).

- (b) In the event of any inconsistency between the approved plans and supplementary documentation, the plans will prevail.

Reasons for Decision

The application was approved for the following reasons:

- (A) The development as modified is substantially the same as that originally approved and is in accordance with the provisions of Section 4.55(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.
- (B) The proposed modifications ensure that the detailed design development applications are consistent with the concept approval to satisfy Section 4.24 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.
- (C) The increased height and bulk of the building envelopes for Blocks A and D are in keeping with the desired future character of the area and will not adversely impact the amenity of the surrounding area. Variations to the height standard are reasonable and justified with regard to the detailed design development applications.
- (D) The development as modified is in the public interest.

Carried unanimously.

D/2015/966/C