

Item 17.**Parking - Disability Parking - Marriott Street, Redfern**

TRIM Container No.: 2021/084737

Recommendations

It is recommended that the Committee endorse the reallocation of parking on the western side of Marriott Street, Redfern between the points 25.3 metres and 32 metres north of Telopea Street, as "Disability Parking Only".

Voting Members for this Item

Voting Members	Support	Object
City of Sydney	[Insert]	[Insert]
Transport for NSW	[Insert]	[Insert]
NSW Police –South Sydney PAC	[Insert]	[Insert]
Representative for the Member for Newtown	[Insert]	[Insert]

Advice

Advice will be updated after the meeting

Background

A resident of Marriott Street, Redfern has requested a disability parking space in front of their house. The resident has advised they are not in a wheelchair but cannot physically walk far.

Comments

Marriott Street, Redfern between Telopea Street and Boronia Street is designated for two-way traffic flow, with an approximate width of 12.8 metres.

The kerb space, where the changes are proposed, is currently signposted as "2P 8am-6pm Mon-Fri permit Holders Excepted Area 41".

Under existing conditions, vehicles park on both sides of the street which reduces the trafficable lane for two-way traffic flow to an approximate width of 7.8 metres. The remaining width is allocated for on-street parking.

Footpaths (approximately 2 metres wide) are provided on both sides of the street.

The Australian Standard for on-street disability parking (AS 2890.5 – 1993) requires spaces to be 6.7 metres long and 3.2 metres wide for middle spaces.

A 6.7 metre long bay is proposed however with consideration for the constrained width of Marriott Street, the 3.2 metre bay width cannot be accommodated. However as Marriott Street is designated for two-way traffic flow and has very low traffic volumes, low vehicle speed and only provides local access then the requested disability space can be provided with reduced dimensions.

Although the resident is not wheelchair-bound and kerb ramps are not necessary, the City will investigate installing ramps at this site should the proposal be endorsed.

Consultation

The City consulted local residents and businesses in the area. There were 57 letters sent out with no responses supporting the proposal and two responses opposing the proposal. The two responses opposing the proposal expressed their concerns over loss of parking.

The provision of one parking space will improve accessibility for the applicant who do not have access to off-street parking. As such, it is proposed to proceed with the proposal.

Financial

Funds are available in the current budget.

TIMOTHY LE, ENGINEERING TRAFFIC OFFICER